Why mental health matters

Nature talks to five researchers about the stresses of a hyper-competitive environment, and what needs to change.

BY CHRIS WOOLSTON

More than 150 scientists contacted Nature with their personal stories following coverage of an international survey showing evidence of a mental-health crisis in graduate education (T. M. Evans et al. Nature Biotechnol. 36, 282–284; 2018). To kick off a series on mental health in academia, we talked to five people on the front lines of science who were willing to share their insights and discuss how changes to the culture might help.

Next week, we will profile four scientists who have experienced severe depression and its career consequences. And the week after that, we’ll examine health in labs, and ask what kinds of lessons can be learnt from other sectors.

ROBBIE HABLE

Network for health

PhD student in engineering at the University of Kansas in Lawrence

I was hospitalized for depression in 2017 — and there I learnt the importance of having a support network. It makes your struggles a little bit easier if you have a community. I’ve reached out to people on campus, but I also found a community thanks to the Cheeky Scientist Association (CSA), a group based in Liberty Lake, Washington, that was created by careers consultant Isaiah Hankel to provide advice and support to researchers worldwide. The CSA posts a lot of success stories, and reminds its members of the value of a PhD. It’s been a big help and a huge source of comfort.

I see a therapist weekly. When I walk in, I’m always in a great mood. My therapist validates my emotions and reminds me that I’m mostly struggling against a flawed system, not with a personality flaw. Their continuous encouragement has helped me to focus on finishing my dissertation and keeping my head in the game.

Graduate students are suffering, and they need help. We have fantastic mental-health services on this campus, but a lot of students are hesitant to use them. Some are worried about costs, but they might be surprised. My weekly sessions on campus, for example, are 100% covered by student insurance. Likewise, some students might not want to raise concerns about their adviser or their department out of fear of retaliation. I’ve been warned not to bite the hand that feeds me.

As president of the campus Graduate Engineering Association, I’m trying to create a sense of community and encourage people to get out of the lab. We threw the first graduate engineering formal in April 2017 at an upscale hotel on campus. There was a DJ, a professional photographer and even a red carpet. We got a huge response. We also have professional-development events. People from industry come here and help us to go over our CVs. We’re going through this together.

Like a lot of other students, I struggle with work–life balance. I’ve faced some criticism for devoting time to my leadership role with the Graduate Engineering Association. But, thanks to my support networks, I have the confidence to be more assertive about my choices.
People want to produce as much research and scenario that breeds anxiety and depression. Success is almost impossibly narrow. That’s a resources that we’re not addressing. There’s an enormous waste of talent and personal, emotional connection to their struggle. Many people in my life are graduate students or are working with students. I have a personal, emotional connection to their struggles. There’s an enormous waste of talent and resources that we’re not addressing.

The research culture lies at the core of many scientists’ mental-health issues. The environment is hyper-competitive, and the path for success is almost impossibly narrow. That’s a scenario that breeds anxiety and depression. People want to produce as much research and as many papers as possible. Anything that takes away from that can make life more difficult. It is a situation where everyone is pursuing a goal that’s almost impossible to reach — the next grant, the next fellowship, the next position. I’m part of the policy working group for an international professional network called the Marie Curie Alumni Association. I’d like the working group to have a new mission: aligning the incentives and rewards of science with the type of work and productivity that we really want to see. We need to better reward non-traditional outcomes, such as data sets, research methods and code. And we need to better appreciate activities outside of the lab, working on ways to improve them, you can have a big and immediate impact. We want people to do good research, and we need them to be healthy.

**VINCE BUTITTA**

**Praise for papers**

PhD student in limnology at the University of Wisconsin–Madison

I know where my anxiety comes from. Last year, I had a paper come out (V. L. Butitta et al. *Ecosphere* 8, e01941; 2017). It was well received and got a lot of attention on Twitter. It was the first time I felt like I was actually doing science, not just playing a part. But then, everything died down. Sometimes I go online to get a figure from my paper, and see that there aren’t any new citations. I feel like I’m shouting into the void.

I still struggle with that particular brand of anxiety, but I’m doing what I can to help other students who might feel the same way. When I see a paper that I find interesting, I make sure to send the author an e-mail or message them on Twitter. I say: “I just read your paper — it helped me with some concepts. I look forward to seeing your future work.” It lets people know that they have worth. That sort of support doesn’t have to come from superiors.

Those messages might help others, but they’re also great for me. I connect with other researchers, and when I’m at a conference, someone might recognize my name tag because we’ve interacted on Twitter.

My paper still doesn’t have a lot of citations, but I was invited by a session organizer to speak at an annual meeting of the International Association for Landscape Ecology last month in Chicago, Illinois, because she saw my paper on Twitter. Knowing that someone thought the paper was good enough for a conference gave me a greater sense of satisfaction than did publishing it in the first place.

**MATTIAS BJÖRNMALM**

**Change the culture**

Research fellow in materials science, Imperial College London

I’m passionate about protecting and supporting the mental health of early-career researchers. I received my PhD just two years ago, and many people in my life are graduate students or are working with students. I have a personal, emotional connection to their struggles. There’s an enormous waste of talent and resources that we’re not addressing.

The research culture lies at the core of many scientists’ mental-health issues. The environment is hyper-competitive, and the path for success is almost impossibly narrow. That’s a scenario that breeds anxiety and depression. People want to produce as much research and as many papers as possible. Anything that takes away from that can make life more difficult. It is a situation where everyone is pursuing a goal that’s almost impossible to reach — the next grant, the next fellowship, the next position.

I’m part of the policy working group for an international professional network called the Marie Curie Alumni Association. I’d like the working group to have a new mission: aligning the incentives and rewards of science with the type of work and productivity that we really want to see. We need to better reward non-traditional outcomes, such as data sets, research methods and code. And we need to better appreciate activities outside of the lab, working on ways to improve them, you can have a big and immediate impact. We want people to do good research, and we need them to be healthy.

**FRANZISKA FRANK**

**Real-world results**

PhD student in ecology and environmental sciences, Umeå University, Sweden

Sometimes I question my worth to society, and this doubt has added to my feelings of depression. Everyone is publishing and publishing because that’s where the money in science comes from. But if everyone is publishing and nobody is reading, are we making a contribution? Are we really doing anything important?

There’s an excellent service at my university that offers therapy and counselling. You don’t have to wait long for an appointment, and they are very familiar with the worries of academics. I’ve met doctors in the past who didn’t seem very interested in the stress that I was feeling. But now, there seems to be more awareness.

If we want to talk about depression and mental health, we must acknowledge the progress that has already been made.

Before I started my PhD programme, I did some science communication and education, and that really gave me a sense of satisfaction and validation. We’d take children and their parents to a mobile lab to learn about the North Sea. That’s something everyone can relate to. They get really interested in science, and it’s not the type of science that comes from a journal.

What do you get from a journal? You submit an article, then you get rejected multiple times. Eventually it’s accepted, and you move on to the next thing. Unless you get published in a very prestigious journal or get a lot of citations, it can feel like a downer, even though you accomplished what you were supposed to accomplish.

I would encourage other students to think about what they really want from a PhD. Sort things out for yourself. Talk to people who are important in your personal and professional life, and don’t forget to work out. And try to have a life outside the lab.

**RACHEL PIPER**

**Train universities**

Policy manager, Student Minds, Oxford, UK

Our charity works with about 120 universities across the United Kingdom. We equip students to cope with graduate school whether or not they’ve been diagnosed with a mental-health
issue. We have training programmes with university staff members. We want staff members to be able to listen, but they shouldn’t be the only source of support for every student.

Our main goal is to make sure that every university has a strategic response to mental health. We support the recommendations of the #stepchange framework, which was launched by Universities UK in 2017 to help improve the mental health of students and faculty members in higher education. Universities must look at their needs and have a specific plan of action to make sure everyone has access to support and treatment.

When the mental-health charity Student Minds started in 2009, many universities denied that they had a mental-health problem on their campus. But the conversation has changed. Now, universities say, ‘We know we need to do something, but what is the right thing to do?’

Students also have to look after each other. It’s common for people to tell their peers about their troubles but no one else. A 2014 UK study by the Equality Challenge Unit found that 75% of students with mental-health challenges disclosed the issue to peers (see go.nature.com/2qvhd8k). But according to the Health Education Statistics Agency, only about 3% of all students in the 2016–17 academic year formally reported a mental-health issue to their university. As the discussion continues, hopefully more students will feel comfortable reaching out to supervisors and administrators.

People don’t recognize that students have a different experience from other young people. When it comes to National Health Service funding, Student Minds is one of a few groups trying to get more student-health-care models. There’s a misconception that students are privileged and don’t need extra support. I had my own mental-health concerns as a student, and while I’m much better now, I know how mental health can affect everything. Once you see it, you can’t unsee it.

University should be a place where someone can thrive regardless of anxiety or depression. If you have the right support, you can have a diagnosis and still do well. If that support isn’t there, you can have no diagnosis and still be stressed. Staff should see university as an opportunity to support people and set them up for their future. If you can help them at university, you’re setting them up for a win.

INTERVIEWS BY CHRIS WOOLSTON
Interviews have been edited for clarity and length.

TURNING POINT
Political expatriate

Theoretical chemist Alán Aspuru-Guzik was among many US citizens who talked of moving to Canada after the November 2016 election of Donald Trump as US president. Now, Aspuru-Guzik has made good on his declaration, and will begin a new post in July. He explains how the US political climate prompted him to leave his tenured post at Harvard University in Cambridge, Massachusetts, after nearly 20 years in the country.

Why are you leaving the United States?
The nation is at a crossroads. Is it going to continue as a civil society in which politicians and people from different sides respect each other? Or is it going to become a country that has lost political decency and dialogue? Why not use my skills in a country where I don’t have to worry about the next national drama, and can concentrate on my science and be with people who share my values?

What will you be doing?
I’ve accepted a post as a Canada 150 Research Chair in theoretical and quantum chemistry at the University of Toronto, worth Can$1 million (US$780,000) a year for 7 years. I’ll also be a faculty member at the Vector Institute, which is the new artificial-intelligence research institute in Toronto.

What is the Canada 150 programme?
The Canadian government announced last year that it would invest Can$117.6 million to enhance the country’s “reputation as a global centre for science, research and innovation excellence, in celebration of Canada’s 150th anniversary”. Canadian institutions get a one-off lump sum to attract top-tier researchers.

What disturbs you most about the US political environment?
We don’t have a very civilized way of passing budgets, so even though spending for science was increased, it’s tied up with military increases. We have to try to solve climate-change problems. But the United States just left the Paris agreement. I am a dual US–Mexican citizen. I have been here for 20 years, and it doesn’t look like it’s getting better. Even when the Democrats were in power, the same political war was being waged between the parties. This is the way democracies end — not by coups anymore.

What was it like to work in the United States as a dual national?
I’ve been lucky to be in some of the most inclusive places in the United States. I lived roughly half of my time in California and half of it in Massachusetts. I have a PhD; I helped to launch start-up companies; I’m a professor at Harvard and I’ve published a lot. I’m one of the very privileged in the United States. But how about others who are not? Why should I not worry about them?

Are there drawbacks to vacating your position and leaving collaborators?
I’m leaving a favourable ecosystem. But there are many other great places. Toronto is one. It’s one of the most diverse cities in the world, and Canada is leading the world in artificial intelligence and quantum computing. I plan to continue my collaborations at Harvard and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Cambridge with key collaborators, and I’ll continue to expand them in Canada.

What are your thoughts about leaving the United States and Harvard in general?
All moves are bittersweet. I’m not leaving in any way or form because of Harvard. I’m thankful for them as a platform for my career — they were extremely supportive. Some people believe that one should spend forever in a single place. I think that shouldn’t be the case. Sometimes we should do this more often. So I also think it’s great that somebody else will take my position at Harvard and that there will be new activity.

What do you see as the main cultural differences between the United States and Canada?
In Canada, people on the street emphasize how welcome you are. And even though you have disagreements, you can still respect your opponents.

INTERVIEW BY BRIAN OWENS
This interview was edited for clarity and length.