

2021 Grad Slam Scorecard

INSTRUCTIONS

Enter in your scores for each presenter and category below by selecting a score from the drop-down list in each cell (1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Good, 4=Very Good, 5=Excellent)

SCORING CATEGORY	PRESENTERS							
	Presenter #1	Presenter #2	Presenter #3	Presenter #4	Presenter #5	Presenter #6	Presenter #7	Presenter #8
CLARITY Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and the importance of the project understandable?								
ORGANIZATION Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?								
DELIVERY E.g. pace, enthusiasm, confidence, body language, eye contact, and vocal range								
VISUALS If used, did the slides and/or props enhance the presentation and help to emphasize the primary points of the talk? Were the slides well designed, clear, legible, and concise? (*If no visuals were used, see note below)								
APPROPRIATENESS Was the topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent, but non-specialist audience? (For example, did the speaker avoid or explain discipline-specific jargon?)								
INTELLECTUAL SIGNIFICANCE Did the speaker explain why their project matters (for example, its significance to the academic discipline)?								
ENGAGEMENT To what extent did the talk speak to your intellectual curiosity? Did it make you want to learn more about the topic?								
	Presenter #1	Presenter #2	Presenter #3	Presenter #4	Presenter #5	Presenter #6	Presenter #7	Presenter #8
TOTAL SCORE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RANK	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

SAMPLE

<i>*If the presenter is not using visuals, a score of 5 points indicates that you understood the presentation and it kept your attention perfectly, so no visuals were required; a score of 1 point indicates that visuals were needed in order for you to understand the presentation.</i>	JUDGE'S NOTES (these will not be shared with presenters)							